



PBNI Equality Impact Screening

Part 1 Policy Scoping

Information about the policy

This form should be read in conjunction with the Equality Commission's revised Section 75, "A Guide for Public Authorities" April 2010 and available via the following link <u>S75 Guide for Public Authorities April 2010</u>. Staff should complete a form for each new or revised policy for which they are responsible (see page 6 for a definition of policy in respect of section 75).

The purpose of screening is to identify those policies that are likely to have an impact on equality of opportunity and/or good relations and so determine whether an Equality Impact Assessment (EQIA) is necessary. Screening should be introduced at an <u>early stage</u> when developing or reviewing a policy.

1.1 Name of the policy

Human Resources Department

Disciplinary Policy and Procedure

1.2 Is this an existing, revised or a new policy?

Revised

1.3 What is it trying to achieve? (Intended aims/outcomes)

The policy and supporting procedure are seeking to ensure that the accepted standards of conduct for employees are observed by providing a clear course of action which will ensure the fair and consistent treatment of employees if disciplinary action is taken. The policy and procedure provide a framework of guidance to allow PBNI to deal with disciplinary matters and consider the rights and obligations of those involved.

1.4 Are there any Section 75 categories which might be expected to benefit from the intended policy? If so, explain how.

No		
1.5	Who initiated or wrote the policy?	
Staff	Officer Human Resources Department	
1.6	Which Dept. owns and who implements the policy?	

Implementation factors

1.7

	aim/outcome of the policy/decision?
If yes	, are they
	financial
\boxtimes	legislative
	other, please specify
Main	stakeholders affected
1.8	Who are the internal and external stakeholders (actual or potential) that th policy will impact upon?
	staff
	service users
	other public sector organisations
	voluntary/community/trade unions
speci	other, please fy
1.9	Other policies with a bearing on this policy
	what are they?
	Performance Capability Policy Sickness Absence Policy Grievance Policy Dignity at Work Policy Counter-Fraud Policy Internet and Email Usage Policy Social Media Policy Information Assurance Policy Information Security Policy Data Protection Policy Management of Information Policy Protective Marking Policy Substance Abuse Policy Domestic Violence and Abuse Policy (Staff)

Are there any factors which could contribute to/detract from the intended

• who owns them?

Human Resources Department
Finance Department
IT Department
Compliance Department

Available evidence

1.10 What evidence/information (both qualitative and quantitative) have you gathered to inform this policy? Specify details for each of the Section 75 categories.

Section 75 category	Details of evidence/information	
Religious belief	Details from the latest Section 75 report indicate that PBNI workforce is as follows:	
	Non-determined 7% Protestant 45% Catholic 47% Blank 1%	
	The operation of this policy has no impact on religious belief.	
Political opinion	The operation of this policy has no impact on political opinion.	
Racial group	Details from the latest Section 75 report indicate that PBNI workforce is as follows:	
	White = 97.42% Mixed = 0.7% other = 0.235% Not disclosed = 0.7%	
	The operation of this policy has no impact on racial group.	
Age	Details from the latest Section 75 report indicate that PBNI workforce is as follows:	
	18-25 = 2% 26-35 = 21% 36-45 = 30% 46-55 = 30% 56+ = 16% Blank = 1%	
	The operation of this policy has no impact on any particular age group.	
Marital status	Details of the latest Section 75 Report indicate that PBNI workforce is as follows:	
	Single = 24% Married = 60% Widowed = 1% Separated = 3% Divorced = 3% Civil Partnership = 0% Cohabit = 6% Not disclosed = 2% Blank = 1%.	
	The operation of this policy has no impact on marital status.	

Sexual orientation	Details of the latest Section 75 report indicate that PBNI workforce is as follows: Heterosexual = 82% Bisexual = 1% Gay/Lesbian = 1% Not disclosed = 2% Blank = 14% The operation of this policy has no impact on sexual orientation.	
Men and women generally	Details of the latest Section 75 report indicate that PBNI workforce is as follows: Male = 30% Female = 70% The operation of this policy has no impact on gender.	
Disability	Details of the Section 75 report indicate that PBNI workforce is as follows: Disabled = 4% Not disabled = 96% The operation of this policy has no impact on those with a disability.	
Dependants	Details of the latest Section 75 report indicate that PBNI workforce is as follows: Staff with children = 38% Person with disability = 2% Elderly person = 3% No dependents = 56% Not disclosed = 1% The operation of this policy has no impact on those with dependents.	

Needs, experiences and priorities

1.11 Taking into account the information referred to above, what are the different needs, experiences and priorities of each of the following categories, in relation to the particular policy/decision? Specify details for each of the Section 75 categories

Section 75 category	Details of needs/experiences/priorities	
Religious belief	None in relation to this policy	
Political opinion	None in relation to this policy	
Racial group	None in relation to this policy	

Age	None in relation to this policy
Marital status	None in relation to this policy
Sexual orientation	None in relation to this policy
Men and women generally	None in relation to this policy
Disability	None in relation to this policy
Dependants	None in relation to this policy.

Part 2 Equality Screening Questions

SCREENING QUESTIONS

- 2.1 In making a decision as to whether or not there is a need to carry out an equality impact assessment, consider questions 2.5 -2.8 listed below.
- 2.2 If the conclusion is <u>none</u> in respect of all of the Section 75 equality of opportunity and/or good relations categories, then the decision may to screen the policy out. If a policy is 'screened out' as having no relevance to equality of opportunity or good relations, give details of the reasons for the decision taken.
- 2.3 If the conclusion is <u>minor</u> in respect of one or more of the Section 75 equality categories and/or good relations categories, then consideration should still be given to proceeding with an equality impact assessment, or to:
 - i.measures to mitigate the adverse impact; or
 - ii.the introduction of an alternative policy to better promote equality of opportunity and/or good relations.
- 2.4 If the conclusion is <u>major</u> in respect of one or more of the Section 75 equality of opportunity and/or good relations categories, then consideration should be given to subjecting the policy to the equality impact assessment procedure.

2.5 Equality Impact

What is the likely impact on equality of opportunity for those affected by this policy, for each of the Section 75 equality categories? Minor | Major | None Section 75 Details of policy impact Level of impact? Minor | Major | None category Religious belief None. This policy is a standard HR policy which applies to all staff. Political None. This policy is opinion a standard HR policy which applies to all staff. Racial group None. This policy is a standard HR policy which applies to all staff. None. This policy is Age a standard HR policy which applies to all staff. Marital status None. This policy is a standard HR policy which applies to all staff. Sexual None. This policy is orientation a standard HR policy which applies to all staff. Men and women None. This policy is a standard HR policy generally which applies to all staff.

Disability	None. This policy is a standard HR policy which applies to all staff.
Dependants	None. This policy is a standard HR policy which applies to all staff.

2.6 Promotion of Equality

Are there opportunities to better promote equality of opportunity for people within the Section 75 equalities categories?		
Section 75 category	If Yes , provide details	If No , provide reasons
Religious belief		No. As above
Political opinion		No. As above
Racial group		No. As above
Age		No. As above
Marital status		No. As above
Sexual orientation		No. As above
Men and women generally		No. As above
Disability		No. As above.
Dependants		No. As above

2.7 Good Relation Impact

To what extent is the policy likely to impact on good relations between people of different religious belief, political opinion or racial group? Minor | Major | None Good relations Details of policy impact Level of impact Minor | Major | None category Religious belief None however the **Disciplinary Policy** and Procedure is linked to the Dignity at Work and Grievance Policy and Procedure. PBNI does not tolerate unacceptable behaviour, discrimination or victimisation of someone due to religious belief/political opinion or racial group. One of the examples of major and gross misconduct provided in the Disciplinary procedure is "Where an allegation made under the Dignity at Work or Grievance Policy has been upheld". Whilst the Disciplinary Policy and Procedure may not have an impact on good relations "in a positive way" it does however set out the consequences of not treating others with dignity and respect irrespective of their religious /political or racial background.

Political opinion	None. As above
Racial group	None. As above

2.8 Promotion of Good Relations

4 Are there opportunities to better promote good relations between people of different religious belief, political opinion or racial group?

Good relations category

If Yes, provide details

Religious belief

No. As above

Political opinion

No. As above

No. As above

Additional considerations

Multiple identities

2.9 Generally speaking, people can fall into more than one Section 75 category. Taking this into consideration, are there any potential impacts of the policy/decision on people with multiple identities? (For example; disabled women; young Protestant men; and young lesbians, gay and bisexual people).
None
2.10 Provide details of data on the impact of the policy on people with multiple identities. Specify relevant Section 75 categories concerned.
2.11 Is there an opportunity thorough this policy for PBNI to promote positive attitudes towards disabled people or encourage the participation of disabled people in public life?
Yes
No 🖂
If answered yes detail how this will be achieved: -

Part 3 Screening decision

3.1 If the decision is not to conduct an equality impact assessment, please provide
No equality issues have been identified that warrant a further inspection of equality issues in relation to this policy. Since the implementation of current Disciplinary Policy and Procedure in October 2013 to present a total of 16 staff have gone or are going through the disciplinary process. In terms of breakdown the breakdown is 8 males and 8 females over a 5-year period. The numbers are so low that this would make any further statistical analysis meaningless.
3.2 If the decision is not to conduct an equality impact assessment consider if the policy should be mitigated or an alternative policy be introduced.
N/A
3.3 If the decision is to subject the policy to an equality impact assessment, please provide details of the reasons.
N/A
Mitigation
3.4 When the likely impact is 'minor' an equality impact assessment is not to be conducted, mitigation may lessen the severity of any equality impact, or the introduction of an alternative policy to better promote equality of opportunity or good relations. Can the policy/decision be amended or changed or an alternative policy introduced to better promote equality of opportunity and/or good relations and if so, give the reasons to support your decision, together with the proposed changes/amendments or alternative policy.
N/A

Date Screening Completed: 20 September 2018
Department Completing this Screening: Human Resources Department