CONSULTATION RESPONSE QUESTIONNAIRE

Background

The aim of the consultation is to seek views on the detail of the Bill. The paper also highlights legislative reform relating to adoption and children which has taken place in Scotland, England and Wales over the last decade and seeks views on whether these reforms should be implemented in the north of Ireland.

Questionnaire

The questionnaire can be completed by a member of the public or it can be completed on behalf of a group or organisation. Part A provides an opportunity to answer questions relating to specific recommendations that are made throughout the consultation. Part B provides an opportunity for respondents to give additional feedback relating to any equality, human rights or regulatory impacts of the recommendations that are contained in Chapter 4.

Freedom of Information Act 2000 – Confidentiality of Consultations

The Department will publish a summary of responses following completion of the consultation process. Your response, and all other responses to the consultation, may be disclosed on request. The Department can only refuse to disclose information in exceptional circumstances. **Before** you submit your response, please read the paragraphs below on the confidentiality of consultations and they will give you guidance on the legal position about any information given by you in response to this consultation.

The Freedom of Information Act gives the public a right of access to any information held by a public authority, namely, the Department in this case. This right of access to information includes information provided in response to a consultation. The Department cannot automatically consider as confidential information supplied to it in response to a consultation. However, it does have the responsibility to decide whether any information provided by you in response to this consultation, including information about your identity should be made public or be treated as confidential.

This means that information provided by you in response to the consultation is unlikely to be treated as confidential, except in very particular circumstances. For further information about confidentiality of responses please contact the Information Commissioner's Office or see their web site at: https://ico.org.uk

| I am responding: as an individual | |
|--|-----------|
| On behalf of an organisation (please tick box) | $\sqrt{}$ |
| Name (print): Hugh Hamill | |
| Job Title: Director of Operations | |
| Organisation (name and service provided): | |
| Probation Board for Northern Ireland | |
| Address: | |
| 80-90 North Street, Belfast, BT1 1LD | |
| Tel: 028 90262400 | |
| E-mail: hugh.hamill@pbni.gsi.gov.uk | |
| Date: 31 March 2017 | |

RESPONSES MUST BE RECEIVED NO LATER THAN 5pm on Monday 10th April 2017

In writing to: Family and Children's Policy Directorate Department of Health Room A3.3, Castle Buildings BELFAST BT4 3SQ

Tel: (028) 90522169

Or by email to: adoption@health-ni.gov.uk

Part A

| Chapter 1: Section 2 |
|---|
| Independent Reviewing Officer (IRO) (Pages 13 – 14) |
| Do you consider that an IRO service should be put in place? |
| Yes V No Undecided |
| If yes, do you consider that such a service should be introduced on a statutory or non statutory basis? |
| Comments |
| Not sure law is required if the Trusts agreed to fully introduce such a service. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

Adoption Support Agencies and Adoption Support Advisers (Page 14-16)

Please provide your views on whether a distinct Adoption Support Adviser role should be established?

| PBNI agrees with the Department's view as per paragraph 1.2.8 | 3. |
|---|----|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Please also provide your reasons. | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

Chapter 1: Section 2

Adoption Support Services (Page 16-17)

| - | | der that the needed? | Department | should create a | a duty to provide services |
|---------------|----------|------------------------------|--------------|--------------------|--|
| Yes | | No | | Undecided | V |
| | | such a duty egories of pe | | spect of all indiv | iduals or be restricted to |
| Com | ments | | | | |
| suffi than | ice in N | lorthern Ire nd and Wal | and. If Sco | ottish experien | ng well, same should ce suggests it is better ervices would be the |
| | catego | ries of peop | le should th | e duty apply to? | |
| Com | ments | | | | |
| As p | er the | suggestion | s in Clause | 4 (1). | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Chapter 1: Section 2 (Page 17-21) Special Guardianship Orders) Do you consider that the introduction of SGOs in the north of Ireland should be strengthened to ensure that children are placed with carers with whom they have a prior relationship, established by living with the carer prior to an SGO being sought? Yes No Undecided If so, which of the options outlined at paragraph 1.2.22 do you consider should be applied? Are there any additional requirements you would like to see in place?

Chapter 1: Section 2

Special Guardianship Orders: Panels (Page 21-22)

| | consider t | | | | | | | dianship orde n law? |
|---------|------------|----------|---------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-------------------------|
| es | ٧ | | No | | | ndecided | | |
| If not | , please g | ive your | reasons | S. | | | | |
| If it p | orovides g | reater s | ecurity | for chil | dren, th | en SGOs | s enshri | ne in law. |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

Chapter 1: Section 2

Care Plans (Page 23-24)

| | | that the Cour he care plan | | e required to cons | sider the perm | anence |
|------|------------|-------------------------------|--------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Yes | V | No | | Undecided | | |
| Do y | ou agree | with the defir | ition of "pe | ermanence provis | sions" included | in clause 1223 |
| Yes | V | No | | Undecided | | |
| If n | ot, please | e give your re | asons. | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| i | | | | | | |

Do you agree that a disabled child being provided with accommodation for respite/short break purposes should not become looked after? Yes No Undecided If you disagree, please give your reasons and outline how you consider such arrangements should be treated. Removal of the restriction of making cash payments only in exceptional circumstances (Page 27-28) Do you agree that the restriction on the making of cash payments in exceptional circumstances should be lifted? Yes Undecided No If not, please provide your reasons.

Accommodation of children in need etc. (Page 26-27)

| General duty of authority to promote educational achievement (Page 28-29) |
|---|
| Do you consider that a HSC Trust should be required to promote a child's educational achievement? |
| Yes V No Undecided |
| Do you consider that, in providing a child with accommodation, a HSC Trust should be required to ensure, so far as is reasonably practicable and consistent with his welfare, that the child's education or training is not disrupted? Yes V No Undecided |
| Are there other measures which could be introduced to support a child's education achievement? |
| To make good on a child catching up with mainstream population, in exceptional circumstances, provide and pay for private tuition. |

Contact / No Contact Orders (Page 29 – 32)

| | | assesseu | tnat such | contact wo | uld be con | trary to th | ne child's | weltare |
|----------------------|-----------|-----------------------------------|------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------|------------|---------|
| es | ٧ | No | | Undec | ided | | | |
| not, p | lease ex | plain why. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| - | _ | ith the prov ontact orde | | uded in the d? | Bill which i | ntroduce | s the two | new |
| st-ad s | option co | ontact orde No | rs outline | d? Undecided | I | | | |
| st-ad s not, p | option co | ontact orde No ve your view | rs outline | d? | I | | | |
| st-ad s not, p | option co | ontact orde No ve your view | rs outline | d? Undecided | I | | | |
| st-ad s not, p | option co | ontact orde No ve your view | rs outline | d? Undecided | I | | | |
| st-ad s not, p | option co | ontact orde No ve your view | rs outline | d? Undecided | I | | | |
| st-ad s not, p | option co | ontact orde No ve your view | rs outline | d? Undecided | I | | | |
| st-ad s not, p | option co | ontact orde No ve your view | rs outline | d? Undecided | I | | | |
| st-ad s not, p | option co | ontact orde No ve your view | rs outline | d? Undecided | I | | | |
| st-ad s not, p | option co | ontact orde No ve your view | rs outline | d? Undecided | I | | | |
| st-ad s not, p | option co | ontact orde No ve your view | rs outline | d? Undecided | I | | | |

Do you agree with the proposed amendment to enable Guardians ad Litem to be directly employed, rather than admitted to a Panel? Yes No Undecided If not, please explain your reasons why. Regulation of Fostering Panels and introduction of a review mechanism for an agency's determination in relation to foster parent (Page 33-34) Do you agree with the proposal to place fostering panels in Northern Ireland on a statutory basis? Yes No Undecided Comments

Appointment of Guardians Ad Litem (Page 32)

| - | _ | | of an independe nade by fosterin | nanism to enable |
|-------|------|----|-------------------------------------|------------------|
| Yes | V | No | Undecided | |
| Comme | ents | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

Chapter 2 – Other policy areas on which we wish to consult, with a view to including in the Bill

2.1 Adoption Support Services; Duty to provide information (Page 35-37)

| Do you agree that the Bill should be amend authority to provide information about supp | • |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| Yes V No Under | |
| If you do not agree, please give your reaso | ns. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| 2.2 Provision of accommodation for chil | dren by voluntary organisations |
| Do you agree that Articles 74 and 78A of the | ne Children Order should be repealed? |
| Yes √ No Un | decided |
| If not please state your reasons and provid organisation may need to provide accomminvolvement of a HSC Trust | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

38 - 40)Do you agree that the Bill should be amended to enable descendants of adopted people to access records and intermediary services? No Yes Undecided If you think such descendants should not be allowed access, please provide your reasons. 2.4 Dually Approved Carers (Page 40-42) Do you agree that a duty should be placed on adoption agencies in the north of Ireland to consider the placement of a child with dually approved carers? Yes No Undecided If you do not agree, please provide your reasons.

2.3 Contact between prescribed persons and adopted person's relatives (Page

2.5 Private Fostering (43-46) Do you agree that the Children Order should be amended, as outlined in consultation, to include children who are proposed to be privately fostered? Yes No Undecided If you do not agree, please give your reasons. Do you agree that the Children Order should be amended to include powers to make regulations: • in respect of the carrying out of an authority's duty in relation to privately fostered children? to require an authority to monitor the way in which it discharges its functions? ٧ No Undecided Yes If not please give your reasons.

| Are there any other provisions relating to privately fostered children that you would like to see introduced? | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

2.6 Northern Ireland Adoption and Children Act Register (Page 46-47)

Please give your views on whether prospective adopters should be allowed to search and inspect the NI Adoption and Children Act Register, when established in law?

| changed to t | he Children's Court C | be changed, do you agree that it should be Guardian (with the Northern Ireland Guardian ad is the Northern Ireland Children's Court Guardian |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Yes √ | No | Undecided |
| If you do not suggestions | • | e proposed, please provide any alternative |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| 2.8 Kinship | Care Orders (Page | 49-52) |
| Do you cons Ireland? | ider that a Kinship Ca | are Order should be introduced in the north of |
| Yes | No | Undecided V |
| If subject to | a Kinship Care Order | r, should and allowance be paid? |
| Yes | No | Undecided |
| If yes, should | d it be equivalent to a | a fostering allowance? |
| for KCOs. | | do not indicate there is a compelling need em is not working well (for children), then |

| Should it be subject to me | ans testing? | | |
|--|---|---|-----------------------------------|
| Yes No | Undec | cided \vee | |
| In addition to allowances looked after, do you consi who provide care to childr Article 8 Order (a Kinship | der that allowances s en who are not looke | should be payable to d after but who are | o kinship carers subject to an |
| previously looked aplaced with involveat risk of becoming | ment from the HSC T | rust; or | |
| Yes No | Undecided | V | |
| Comments | | | |
| | | | |

2.9 Corporate Parenting (Page 53-55) Do you consider that Corporate Parenting should be introduced in legislation in the north of Ireland? Undecided Yes No If so, should statutory corporate parenting here be guided by the statutory principles set out in the Children and Social Work Bill? The consultation documents do not make clear the advantages of legislation, nor disadvantages of maintaining current system. Should the responsibility of corporate parent be extended to bodies other than HSC bodies, similar to the approach taken in Scotland? Yes No Undecided If yes to the above, which bodies should corporate parenting extend to?

| no to | the above | e, please (| give you | r reasons. | | | | |
|------------------|------------|-------------|----------|-------------|---------|---------|--------|-------------|
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 0 A _l | pproved I | Home Ch | ildcarer | s (Page 55 | -56) | | | |
| you | agree tha | at a power | should | be taken to | introdu | uce vol | untary | 'childcare' |
| jistra | ation sche | mes in the | north o | of Ireland? | Г | | | |
| S | V | No | | Undecid | ed | | | |
| mme | ents | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

2.11 Childcare Agencies (Page 56-58) Do you agree that the Department should take a power to introduce and regulate childcare agencies in the north of Ireland? Yes No Undecided If introduced, should the HSC Trusts or the RQIA be responsible for the regulation of childcare? RQIA would be perceived as providing more independent oversight. Do you agree that the definition of a nanny, provided in Article 119(4) and (6) of the Children Order, should be amended to include those employed by agencies? Yes No Undecided Comments

Do you consider that the GEM scheme should be placed on a statutory footing? No Undecided Yes If not please explain. If all Trusts are currently fully implementing GEM, then legislation is not necessary. However, if they are not implementing GEM to a satisfactory level, then GEM should be placed on a statutory footing. Do you consider that some services should be extended here to include care leavers up to the age of 25, on request? Undecided Yes No If not, please explain.

2.12 Extending Care for Care Leavers

2.13 Children Order Annual Report Do you consider that Article 181 of the Children Order should continue to apply, be repealed or amended? Apply Repeal Amend If you consider that reporting under Article 181 of the Children Order should be retained, please advise how frequently the Department should be required to provide

| retained, ple such a repoi | how frequen | tly the Depa | rtment shou | ıld be requ | ired to pro |
|-------------------------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Annually | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

2.14 Persona Education Plans

| | | - | - | n Britain. Do he north of | - | er that F | PEPs sh | nould also |
|---------|--------|----------|----|------------------------------|---|-----------|---------|------------|
| Yes | ٧ | N | lo | Undecide | d | | | |
| If not, | please | explain. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

2.15 Further suggested amendments

| Are there any other amendments that you think should be considered for inclusion in |
|---|
| the Bill? If so, please provide details and the reason why such amendments should |
| be included at this time. |

| N/A | |
|-----|--|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

Chapter 3: Children's Partnership Arrangements

3.1 Children and Young People's Strategic Partnership

| 'es | | | | | | | d in sta — | | |
|------------------------|-------------------|------------------|---------------------|----------|------------------------|---------|---------------|--|--|
| | ٧ | No | | Un | decided | | | | |
| not, p | lease e | xplain | why. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| airing | arrang | gemen | ts, objec | tives ar | proposals Id functior | | | | |
| nairing owers | arrang | gemen | | tives ar | | ns of t | | | |
| nairing owers es | arrang to be c | gemen conferr | ts, objected on it? | tives ar | nd functior | ns of t | | | |
| airing wers es | arrang to be c | gemen conferr | ts, objected on it? | tives ar | nd functior | ns of t | | | |
| airing owers es | arrang to be c | gemen conferr | ts, objected on it? | tives ar | nd functior | ns of t | | | |
| airing owers es | arrang to be c | gemen conferr | ts, objected on it? | tives ar | nd functior | ns of t | | | |
| nairing owers es | arrang to be c | gemen conferr | ts, objected on it? | tives ar | nd functior | ns of t | | | |
| nairing owers es | arrang to be c | gemen conferr | ts, objected on it? | tives ar | nd functior | ns of t | | | |
| nairing owers es | arrang to be c | gemen conferr | ts, objected on it? | tives ar | nd functior | ns of t | | | |
| nairing owers es | arrang to be c | gemen conferr | ts, objected on it? | tives ar | nd functior | ns of t | | | |
| nairing owers es | arrang to be c | gemen conferr | ts, objected on it? | tives ar | nd functior | ns of t | | | |

| | PSP? | lakurr | Snoula | be established | d, operatin | g under ti | ne auspices | of |
|---------------------------|-----------|-------------------|--------|-------------------------|---------------|------------|--------------|----|
| Yes | ٧ | No | | Undecided | | | | |
| If not, p | lease exp | lain why. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Do you | | h the Depa | | s proposals re | elating to tl | | | |
| chairing powers | to be con | ferred on i | | and functions | of the RC | PP and th | ne duties ar | id |
| chairing powers Yes | to be con | ferred on i No | | and functions Undecided | of the RC | PP and th | ne duties ar | nd |
| chairing powers Yes | to be con | ferred on i No | | | of the RC | PP and th | ne duties ar | nd |
| chairing powers Yes | to be con | ferred on i No | | | of the RC | PP and th | ne duties ar | nd |
| chairing powers Yes | to be con | ferred on i No | | | of the RC | PP and th | ne duties ar | nd |
| chairing powers Yes | to be con | ferred on i No | | | of the RC | PP and th | ne duties ar | nd |
| chairing powers Yes | to be con | ferred on i No | | | of the RC | PP and th | ne duties ar | nd |
| chairing powers Yes | to be con | ferred on i No | | | of the RC | PP and th | ne duties ar | nd |
| chairing powers Yes | to be con | ferred on i No | | | of the RC | PP and th | ne duties ar | nd |
| chairing powers Yes | to be con | ferred on i No | | | of the RC | PP and th | ne duties ar | nd |
| chairing powers Yes | to be con | ferred on i No | | | of the RC | PP and th | ne duties ar | nd |

Part B

Chapter 4 – Assessment of Impact

Equality Impact Assessment

| proposa opportui | ls as set o | ut in this co good relation | onsultatio | - | adverse impa | ative – that the act on equality of us belief, political |
|---------------------|-------------|--------------------------------|------------|--|--------------|--|
| Yes | | No | ٧ | Undecided | | |
| | | ide comme se impact. | ent on wh | at you think sho | uld be added | or removed to |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | • | • | ote equality of op peliefs, political o | | _ |
| Yes | | No | | Undecided | V | |
| If yes, p | lease give | details as | to how. | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| | ere any a ns may o | • | hese recom | nmendations wh | ere potential | human rights | |
|----------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-------------|--|---------------|--------------|-----|
| Yes | | No | | Undecided | ٧ | | |
| If yes, | please g | ive details | | | | | |
| conta right | act) righ | ts have be t absolute | en imping | , individual par ed upon. As y s of children s | ou are aware | e, parental | |
| A Regu | ılatory In | - | ssment is b | peing prepared of business, char | | | als |
| Comi | ments | | | | | | |
| None |) | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| Do you proposa | | uninten | ded con | sequences as | a result of the introduction of these |
|----------------|-------------|------------|------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|
| Yes | | No | ٧ | Undecided | |
| If yes, | please prov | ride furth | ner detail | S. | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |