



PBNI Equality Impact Screening

Part 1 Policy Scoping

Information about the policy

This form should be read in conjunction with the Equality Commission's revised Section 75, "A Guide for Public Authorities" April 2010 and available via the following link <u>S75 Guide for Public Authorities April 2010</u>. Staff should complete a form for each new or revised policy for which they are responsible (see page 6 for a definition of policy in respect of section 75).

The purpose of screening is to identify those policies that are likely to have an impact on equality of opportunity and/or good relations and so determine whether an Equality Impact Assessment (EQIA) is necessary. Screening should be introduced at an <u>early stage</u> when developing or reviewing a policy.

1.1 Name of the policy and date of screening

Starting Pay on Appointment Policy and Procedure (June 2023)

1.2 Is this an existing, revised or a new policy?

New Policy

1.3 What is it trying to achieve? (Intended aims/outcomes)

PBNI's Starting Pay on Appointment policy and procedure sets out the framework whereby successful candidates in a recruitment campaign, can apply to be paid above the starting point for the advertised salary based on relevant experience, and PBNI can consider this experience in light of business need.

1.4 Are there any Section 75 categories which might be expected to benefit from the intended policy? If so, explain how.

No specific Section 75 categories are intended to benefit from this policy.

1.5 Who initiated or wrote the policy?

Head of HR and OD

1.6 Which Dept. owns and who implements the policy?

HR

Implementation factors

1.7 Are there any factors which could contribute to/detract from the intended aim/outcome of the policy/decision?

If yes	are they					
	Financial – there are potential impacts in relation to appointing staff on higher gradual states than the minimum salary for the band. However the ability to pay a ser salary based on experience appointee may bring to the role, can be ble.					
	legislative					
	other, please specify					
Main	stakeholders affected					
1.8	Who are the internal and external stakeholders (actual or potential) that the policy will impact upon?					
	Staff					
	other public sector organisations					
	voluntary/community/trade unions					
	other, please specify					
1.9	Other policies with a bearing on this policy					
	what are they?					
	who owns them?					

Policy	Owner
Equal Opportunities Policy	HR
Recruitment and Selection Policy and Procedure	HR

Available evidence

1.10 What evidence/information (both qualitative and quantitative) have you gathered to inform this policy? Specify details for each of the Section 75 categories.

Sectio n 75 catego ry	Details of evidence/i	nformation		
Religio	Details from Staff monitoring information (April 2023) indicate that			
us	the PBNI workforce is as follows:			
belief	Protestant	Roman Catholic	Non-determined	
	43%	51%	6%	

at the					
t					
closed					
%					
at the					
ace					
Details from monitoring information (Dec 2022) indicate that the PBNI workforce is as follows:					
Wido wed					
2%					
PBNI					
/Blank					
DRNII					
Details from the latest Section 75 Report indicate that the PBNI workforce is as follows:					
Female					
77%					
PBNI					
П					

Sectio n 75 catego ry	Details of ev	idence/informat	ion	
	In Northern Ireland 18% of the population have a disability,			
Depend Details from the latest Section 75 Report indicate that the ants workforce is as follows:				ate that the PBNI
	Care of a child 39%	Care of an adult 9%	Care (disability) 3%	Not Disclosed/Blank 49%

Needs, experiences, and priorities

1.11 Taking into account the information referred to above, what are the different needs, experiences and priorities of each of the following categories, in relation to the particular policy/decision? Specify details for each of the Section 75 categories.

Section 75 category	Details of needs/experiences/priorities
Religious belief	None in relation to this policy
Political opinion	None in relation to this policy
Racial group	None in relation to this policy
Age	None in relation to this policy
Marital status	None in relation to this policy
Sexual orientation	None in relation to this policy
Men and women generally	None in relation to this policy
Disability	The personal circumstances of staff who may be subject to Mobility Moves, are taken into account before any decision is made regarding any such move.
Dependants	The personal circumstances of staff who may be subject to Mobility Moves, are taken into account before any decision is made regarding any such move.

Part 2 Equality Screening Questions

SCREENING QUESTIONS

- 2.1 In making a decision as to whether or not there is a need to carry out an equality impact assessment, consider questions 2.5 -2.8 listed below.
- 2.2 If the conclusion is <u>none</u> in respect of all of the Section 75 equality of opportunity and/or good relations categories, then the decision may to screen the policy out. If a policy is 'screened out' as having no relevance to equality of opportunity or good relations, give details of the reasons for the decision taken.
- 2.3 If the conclusion is <u>minor</u> in respect of one or more of the Section 75 equality categories and/or good relations categories, then consideration should still be given to proceeding with an equality impact assessment, or to:
 - i.measures to mitigate the adverse impact; or
 - ii.the introduction of an alternative policy to better promote equality of opportunity and/or good relations.
- 2.4 If the conclusion is <u>major</u> in respect of one or more of the Section 75 equality of opportunity and/or good relations categories, then consideration should be given to subjecting the policy to the equality impact assessment procedure.

2.5 Equality Impact

What is the likely impact on equality of opportunity for those affected by this policy, for each of the Section 75 equality categories? Minor Major None			
Section 75 category	Details of policy impact	Level of impact? Minor Major None	
Religious belief		None	
Political opinion		None	
Racial group		None	
Age		None	
Marital status		None	
Sexual orientation		None	
Men and women		None	
generally Disability		None	
Dependants		None	

2.6 Promotion of Equality

Are there opportunities to better promote equality of opportunity for people within the Section 75 equalities categories?			
Section 75 category	If yes, provide details	If no, provide reasons	
Religious belief		No. Not relevant applied equally	
Political opinion		No. Not relevant applied equally	
Racial group		No. Not relevant applied equally	
Age		No. Not relevant applied equally	
Marital status		No. Not relevant applied equally	
Sexual orientation		No. Not relevant applied equally	
Men and women generally		No. Not relevant applied equally	
Disability		No. Not relevant applied equally	
Dependants		No. Not relevant applied equally	

2.7 Good Relation Impact

To what extent is the policy likely to impact on good relations between people of different religious belief, political opinion, or racial group? Minor Major None			
Good relations category	Details of policy impact	Level of impact Minor Major None	
Religious belief		None	
Political opinion		None	
Racial group		None	

2.8 Promotion of Good Relations

Are there opportunities to better promote good relations between people of different religious belief, political opinion, or racial group?			
Good relations category	If yes, provide details	If no, provide reasons	
Religious belief	None		
Political opinion	None		
Racial group	None		

Additional considerations

Multiple identities

2.9 Generally speaking, people can fall into more than one Section 75 category. Taking this into consideration, are there any potential impacts of the policy/decision on people with multiple identities?

(For example; disabled women; young Protestant men; and young lesbians, gay and bisexual people).

N/A	
2.10 Provide details of data on the impact of the policy on people with multiple identities. Specify relevant Section 75 categories concerned.	

Not Known

2.11 Is there an expertupity therough this policy for PRNI to promote positive

2.11 Is there an opportunity thorough this policy for PBNI to promote positive attitudes towards disabled people or encourage the participation of disabled people in public life?

Part 3 Screening decision

3.1 If the decision is not to conduct an equality impact assessment, please provide details of the reasons.

No equality issues have been identified that warrant further inspection of equality issues in relation to this policy.

3.2 If the decision is not to conduct an equality impact assessment consider if the policy should be mitigated or an alternative policy be introduced.

N/A

3.3 If the decision is to subject the policy to an equality impact assessment, please provide details of the reasons.

N/A

Mitigation

3.4 When the likely impact is 'minor' an equality impact assessment is not to be conducted, mitigation may lessen the severity of any equality impact, or the introduction of an alternative policy to better promote equality of opportunity or good relations. Can the policy/decision be amended or changed, or an alternative policy introduced to better promote equality of opportunity and/or good relations and if so, give the **reasons** to support your decision, together with the proposed changes/amendments or alternative policy.

Date Screening Completed: 26 June 2023

Department Completing this Screening: Head of HR and OD